# Connecting Generations Through Technology: Evaluating Older Adult & Student Mentor Program Outcomes Skye N. Leedahl, PhD<sup>1,3</sup>, Heidi Kotzian<sup>5</sup>, Erica Estus, PharmD, CGP<sup>2</sup>, Melanie Brasher, PhD<sup>1,3</sup>, Samantha Clark<sup>4</sup>, Tina Bishal<sup>5</sup>, & Brenda Rusnak<sup>5</sup>

<sup>4</sup> URI Undergraduate Student in Psychology & Gerontology <sup>5</sup> Cyber-Seniors ® Connecting Generations Organization 
 Table 1. Older Adult Pre/Post Scores

### Purpose

- Cyber-Seniors®: Connecting Generations is an international, intergenerational program that connects college students and/or high school students with older adults. The program helps older adults learn to use technological devices and programs while providing students valuable skills in teaching and communicating.
- Four organizations implemented the program from January-May 2016: (1) Wexford-Missaukee High School (Career Tech Center) in Cadillac, MI; (2) Volunteers for Community Impact (VCI) nonprofit organization in Orlando, FL; (3) Boys and Girls Club of Greater Washington Fort Branch, Washington DC; and the (4) Engaging Generations: Cyber-Seniors Program at the University of Rhode Island (URI).
- Each organization completed an 8-10 week program during Spring 2016 semester that included a total of 247 older adults and 153 students who provided 1,344 volunteer hours in their communities.

## Methods

- Student mentors and older adult participants who completed program took pre- and post-surveys (either online or paper copy) that included both close- and open-ended questions.
- To gather additional qualitative data, university students also maintained in-depth observation logs of their sessions and completed reflection papers based on their experiences.
- Research questions:
  - Were there differences in scores before and after participation in the program for both older adults and young adults? What indicators showed improvements?
  - For which of the experiences (YES scales) did young adults report the highest scores?
- Do qualitative findings support any of the quantitative outcomes?

## **Data Collection & Analysis**

- All quantitative data was gathered/entered into Survey Monkey and then converted into SPSS Statistical Software files for analysis.
- Qualitative data was entered into NVivo qualitative software for analysis.

## **Older Adult Characteristics**

- Average age: 74.3 years, Range: 51-88 years
- ◆81% Female, 19% Male
- ◆82.2% retired or disabled, 14.3% employed, 3.6% looking for work
- ◆53.4% have less than \$30k income, 46.5% \$40k-\$100k+

### **Student Characteristics**

- Average age: 20.79 years, Range: 12-42
- ◆68.4% Female, 31.6% Male
- Average number of program hours: 10.7, Range: 2.0-32.5

						Pre-Test	Post-Test	Paired Samples t	Effect size	% showing	
All Lubbon S	l Cocial Notwork Scale (L	SNIS 611 NI-16				<b>Wean</b>	<b>Wean</b>	test t= 0.34 p= 72	(Conen's d)	Improvements	
LSNS <ava lubben="" s<="" td=""><td>Social Network Scale (L</td><td><math>SNS-6)^1 N=18</math></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>10.94</td><td>12 78</td><td>t=2.23  p=.73</td><td>53</td><td>61 11%</td></ava>	Social Network Scale (L	$SNS-6)^1 N=18$				10.94	12 78	t=2.23  p=.73	53	61 11%	
All Social Er	gagement (SE) Measu	$re^2 N=34$				5.82	8.47	<i>t</i> =185, <i>p</i> =.86	03	47.06%	
SE <avg er<="" social="" td=""><td>gagement Measure N=</td><td>:16</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>6.01</td><td>7.13</td><td>t=-2.15, p&lt;05</td><td>57</td><td>43.75%</td></avg>	gagement Measure N=	:16				6.01	7.13	t=-2.15, p<05	57	43.75%	
All l'm not go	ood with technological o	levices <sup>4</sup> N=45				2.93	2.36	<i>t</i> =3.67, <i>p</i> <.01	.53	48.89%	
All I use tech	nological devices many	y ways in my life**	* N=46			2.72	3.07	<i>t</i> =-2.07, <i>p</i> <.05	31	43.47%	
All I like working with technological devices N=39						2.92	3.10	t=-1.23, p=.23	20	33.33%	
All VVOrking	with a technological dev	Accult & Borkman (2006	y nervous	S IN=45	2), 410 Likert ceale questi	Z.4Z		l=1.46, p=.15	.22	28.89%	
(1984) & Farkas & Murthy (201 makes me nervous. Answer C	5), other variables included: hoices: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2	Technological device =Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4	s do not so Agree, 5=9	care me at all., I lik Strongly Agree	ke working with technolo	gical devic	es, Working w	vith a technological device	ces	DABANI S	
Table 2 Student Pre	Post Scores	_									
Quastian		Pr	e-Test	Post-Test	Wilcoxon Signed		ffect Size	% showing			
Attitudes Towards Aging	Scalo <sup>1</sup> N=10		7 07		7 = 2.35  pc 05		onen s aj	60%	.5		
Fear of Older People Me	asure <sup>2</sup> $N=40$		20.93	29.09	Z=-2.55, p<.05 Z=-1.56, p=12			47.5%			
New General Self-Efficad	xy Scale (NGSE) <sup>3</sup> N=3	5	33.38	34.04	Z = -0.89, p = .38			51.4%	MA DALL STOR		
Youth Experience Survey (YES) Scales	Scores range from 1-4	% showing scores of 3-4 or	• "It	has built my o <i>m Boys &amp; Girls</i>	nce with Technolog confidence and kno <i>Club</i>	y wledge	of certain a	pplications I was r	ot familiar with	ר." Older Adult Participant	
(N=56-58)	Mean (SD)	the scale	• "H	laving patient, k	kind, knowledgeable	teaching	has enabled	d me to not be depre	ssed with these	e expensive devices I	
Effort	3.26 (0.64)	73.2%	_ pu	r me." Older Ad	ult Participant from U	RI		chilology rather that	i uepiesseu. it i	has opened up a new work	
<b>Problem Solving</b>	3.18 (0.76)	64.3%	Enha	Enhanced Connections to Community & Student Mentor							
Leadership and Responsibility	3.11 (0.78)	67.9%	• "I h Ad • "Di	<ul> <li>"I had a very great girl that taught me how to navigate Facebook and set up a page for an organization I belong to." Older Adult Participant from Wexford-Missaukee Career Technical Center</li> <li>"Discovering wider connections with the public and meeting my cyber buddy." Older Adult Participant URI</li> </ul>							
Time Management	3.10 (0.72)	73.2%	For S	For Students:							
Group Process Skills	3.04 (0.73)	66.1%	Gaine	Gained Professional Skills (e.g., patience, problem-solving, communication)							
Feedback	3.02 (0.87)	64.9%	• Pa	<ul> <li>Patience, patience, patience, and i need to learn now to better describe things that have become so second nature to me." Student from the VCI program</li> </ul>							
Goal Setting	3.01 (0.73)	64.3%	Redu	Reduction in Aging Stereotypes							
Identity Reflection	2.99 (0.74)	66.1%	• "Cy	yber Seniors re	defined the negative	perception	ons forced u	pon me about the el	der community.	" URI Pharmacy student	
Identify Exploration	2.97 (0.70)	62.5%									
Cognitive Skills	2.94 (0.76)	56.1%	Fut	ure Resear	ch						
Other scales (with low regulation, physical sk prosocial norms, integ peer influences	er scores) include: er ills, diverse peer relat ration with family, stre	notional tionships, ess, negative	<ul> <li>In</li> <li>CL</li> <li>In</li> <li>train</li> </ul>	nplement a qu urrent participa fuse training for acking health o	asi-experimental a ants or students to help data, using health	oproach older ac oortals, t	in which da lults learn t racking me	ata is gathered from o use various appe dication use)	n non-participa & programs r	ants to compare to related to health (e.g.,	

# URI College of Health Sciences, Human Development and Family Studies Dept. <sup>2</sup> URI College of Arts & Sciences, Sociology & Anthropology Dept. and Political Science



# THE **OF RHODE ISLAND**

